UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,663	04/14/2008	Pierre Untersinger	8952-000009/US/NP	8296
	7590 03/17/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828	ŕ	ENGLISH, JAMES A		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/587,663	UNTERSINGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James English	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Jules</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2006 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to b				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/26/2006.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/587,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5,
 lines 8, the phrase "provided with a an access aperture" is grammatically incorrect.
 Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spencer et al. (US 6,619,689 B2).

With respect to claims 1 and 9, Spencer et al. discloses a method of making an airbag (232) and an airbag (232), the method comprising the steps of blow moulding a selected plastics material to form the airbag (232) utilizing a mould corresponding to the final uninflated state (273, 275) of the airbag (232). (Figs. 12 and 18-19, col. 4, lines 4-11 and col. 6, lines 7-27.) Spencer et al. further discloses the mould being such that the moulded airbag (232) has at least one indented region (44), which, on inflation of the airbag (232) becomes outwardly extending. (Figs. 7-8, col. 4, lines 12-40.)

Application/Control Number: 10/587,663

Art Unit: 3616

With respect to claim 2, Spencer et al. discloses a gas generator (270) for the airbag (232). (Col. 6, lines 1-6.)

Page 3

With respect to claims 3-5 and 10-11, Spencer et al. discloses the indented region (259) comprises an annular indented groove that comprises a central indented area. (Figs. 14 and 17-19, col. 6, lines 34-40.)

With respect to claims 6-8, Spencer et al. discloses using polycarbonate (PC) to form the airbag (32). (Col. 6, lines 59-67 and col. 7, lines 1-4.) Spencer et al. discloses using the claimed invention except for specifying using at least 40% or at least 80% PC. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the airbag out of at least 40% PC or at least 80% PC, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Khoudari et al. (US 2002/0185847 A1).

With respect to claims 1 and 9, Khoudari et al. discloses a method of making an airbag (40) and an airbag (40), the method comprising the steps of blow moulding a selected plastics material to form the airbag (40) utilizing a mould corresponding to the

Application/Control Number: 10/587,663 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

final uninflated state (56) of the airbag (40). (Figs. 1-2 and 4-5, paragraphs 20 and 25.) Spencer et al. further discloses the mould being such that the moulded airbag (40) has at least one indented region (50), which, on inflation of the airbag (40) becomes outwardly extending. (Figs. 4-5, paragraphs 22-23.)

With respect to claim 2, Khoudari et al. discloses a gas generator for the airbag (40). (Paragraph 24.)

With respect to claims 3 and 10, Spencer et al. discloses the indented region (50) comprises an indented groove. (Figs. 4-5.)

With respect to claims 6-8, Khoudari et al. discloses using polycarbonate (PC) to form the airbag (40). (Paragraph 25.) Khoudari et al. discloses using the claimed invention except for specifying using at least 40% or at least 80% PC. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the airbag out of at least 40% PC or at least 80% PC, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited on the PTO-892 form disclose similar features of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,663 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James English whose telephone number is (571)270-7014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:00 - 5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Q. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-6952. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Q. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3616 /James English/ Examiner, Art Unit 3616